UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.      | FILING DATE                    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/550,063           | 09/21/2005                     | Hiroshi Kamo         | 1843.1005           | 5764             |
| 21171<br>STAAS & HAI | 7590 10/16/200<br>SEY LLP      | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| SUITE 700            |                                | ZIMMER, MARC S       |                     |                  |
| WASHINGTO            | RK AVENUE, N.W.<br>N, DC 20005 |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                      |                                |                      | 1796                |                  |
|                      |                                |                      |                     |                  |
|                      |                                |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                      |                                |                      | 10/16/2009          | PAPER            |

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Office Action Comments  |  | А   | pplication No.  | Applicant(s)  | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|---|---|---------------|--|--|--|
|   |  | 1   | 0/550,063   | KAMO ET AL.   | KAMO ET AL.   |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   |  |   | xaminer   | Art Unit  |               |  |  |  |
|   |  | N   | IARC S. ZIMMER  | 1796  |               |  |  |  |
| Period fo   | The MAILING DATE of this commun<br>or Reply  | nication appear   | rs on the cover sheet w   | with the correspondence a   | ddress        |  |  |  |
| WHIC<br>- Exter<br>after<br>- If NC<br>- Failu<br>Any (   | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F<br>CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Masions of time may be available under the provisions<br>SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum street or reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | MAILING DATE<br>s of 37 CFR 1.136(a<br>munication.<br>tatutory period will a<br>v will, by statute, cau | E OF THIS COMMUN ). In no event, however, may a pply and will expire SIX (6) MC use the application to become a | ICATION. It reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |               |  |  |  |
| Status  |  |   |   |   |               |  |  |  |
| 1) 又  | Responsive to communication(s) file  | ed on <i>02 June</i>  | 2009  |   |               |  |  |  |
| •   | •  | <u></u>   | tion is non-final.  |   |               |  |  |  |
| 3)  | Since this application is in condition   | <i>′</i> —  |   | tters, prosecution as to th   | ne merits is  |  |  |  |
| ٠,٠   | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |   |   |   |               |  |  |  |
| Dispositi   | on of Claims   |   |   |   |               |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠   | Claim(s) 10-18 is/are pending in the   | application.  |   |   |               |  |  |  |
| •   | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |   |   |   |               |  |  |  |
|   | Claim(s) 18 is/are allowed.  |   |   |   |               |  |  |  |
|   | ☑ Claim(s) <u>13,14,16 and 17</u> is/are rejected.   |   |   |   |               |  |  |  |
| · · · · ·   | Claim(s) <u>10-12 and 15</u> is/are objected   |   |   |   |               |  |  |  |
| •   | Claim(s) are subject to restrict   |   | ection requirement.   |   |               |  |  |  |
| Applicati   | on Papers  |   |   |   |               |  |  |  |
|   | The specification is objected to by th   | e Examiner  |   |   |               |  |  |  |
| •   | The drawing(s) filed on is/are   |   | ed or b)□ objected to   | by the Examiner.  |               |  |  |  |
| ٠٠/   | Applicant may not request that any obje  | -   | •   | -   |               |  |  |  |
|   |  |   |   |   | CFR 1.121(d). |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |  |   |   |   |               |  |  |  |
| Priority ι  | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |   |   |               |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul> |  |   |   |   |               |  |  |  |
| 2)  Notic<br>3)  Inform   | t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Fination Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date   | PTO-948)  | Paper No  | Summary (PTO-413)<br>o(s)/Mail Date<br>Informal Patent Application<br>                              |               |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/550,063 Page 2

Art Unit: 1796

The rejections over Hirayama et al. are hereby withdrawn because Applicants' foreign priority claim has now been perfected. However, in the course of conducting an updated/modifed survey of the prior art, another reference germane to the remaining claims was discovered. The Examiner sincerely regrets that Applicant had not been afforded the opportunity to address this rejection earlier.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 13-14 and 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Tasaka, JP 2002-248714 A. Tasaka describes a multi-layered sheet comprising one layer containing polyphenylene ether and a second layer containing a blend of polyphenylene ether and elastomer.

Claims 13-14 are product-by-process claims. As Applicant is almost certainly aware, "even though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process" *In re Thorpe*, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985). Whereas the sheet of the prior art is manufactured by co-extrusion, the reference does not expressly define

Art Unit: 1796

the specific extrusion technique. Nevertheless, there appears to be nothing distinguishing the claimed film from the prior art film, the possible employment of a different extrusion process notwithstanding.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 10-12 and 15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claim 18 is allowable.

Concerning claim 15, a surface containing polyphenylene oxide (PPO) alone has a water contact angle, at 75°, smaller than that mandated of claim 15. As for the other surface obtained from a blend of PPO and styrene-butadiene copolymer, the Examiner was unable to find any data revealing the water-contact angle of an article obtained from a comparable blend thus it was concluded that a holding of inherency would be inappropriate.

Claim 18 is allowable.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MARC S. ZIMMER whose telephone number is (571)272-1096. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jim Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/550,063 Page 4

Art Unit: 1796

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

October 5, 2009

/Marc S. Zimmer/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796